UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,414	12/19/2005	Alan David Borthwick	PB60267USW	1165
23347 7590 09/25/2007 GLAXOSMITHKLINE CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, MAI B475			EXAMINER	
			NOLAN, JASON MICHAEL	
FIVE MOORE DR., PO BOX 13398 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-3398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
KESEARCH .	IRIANOLL I ARK, NC 2	110LE 1 ARK, 110 27/07-3376	1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
·			09/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/561,414	BORTHWICK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason M. Nolan, Ph.D.	1626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	n the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute that the months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matte		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-6,8,10 and 11 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 6 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,8 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 11 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be the correct of the correct	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·	
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document as △ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap prity documents have been r nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/19/2005.	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/561,414

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-6, 8, 10 & 11 are pending in the instant case; of which Claims 1-6, 8 & 10 have been amended in a preliminary amendment. Claim 10 has the wrong status identifier. Claims 7 & 9 are canceled.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicants' information disclosure statement (IDS), filed on 12/09/2005 has been considered. Please refer to Applicants' copy of the 1449 submitted herein.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 1626

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1 is provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-5, 8 & 10 of copending Application No. 10/499,529. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are drawn to overlapping subject matter. Specifically, there is overlap in the '529 application when X & Y are phenyl or heteroaromatic moieties. Therefore, although the claims of the instant application are not identical to those of the '529 Patent, there is overlapping compounds directed towards the same methods of therapy claimed.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 1626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In particular the term: "treating a patient suffering from a condition susceptible to amelioration by a Factor Xa inhibitor" is unclear. The compounds are shown to inhibit Factor Xa (see Assays on page 31 of specification); therefore, are useful for treating a disease, not a patient. Further, the list of diseases associated with Factor Xa is lengthy (see page 10, lines 4-22) and renders the metes and bounds of the claim, with respect to scope, indefinite. There are different patient populations for diseases such as thrombosis, Alzheimer's disease, stroke, diabetes, etc; so, it is unclear if the compounds are treating said diseases or just thrombosis.

Examiner suggests rewriting the claim to read: "A method of treating thrombosis comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a compound according to claim 1 or a pharmaceutically acceptable derivative thereof" in order to clarify the claim and put in condition for allowance.

Claim Objections

Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim has an incorrect status identifier. Appropriate correction is required.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason M. Nolan, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-4356 and electronic mail is Jason.Nolan@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (9:00 - 5:30PM). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason M. Nolan, Ph.D.

Examiner Art Unit 1626 THINGIT EXAMINE Y

Joseph K. M^cKane Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626

Date: September 19, 2007